

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER POR PATENTS PO Box (430 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.opto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/571,291	11/28/2006	Pascale Gaillard	GAILLARD2	6383	
1444 BROWDY AN	7590 06/28/2010 ND NEIMARK, P.L.L.C.	EXAM	EXAMINER		
624 NINTH STREET, NW			BALASUBRAMANIAN, VENKATARAMAN		
SUITE 300 WASHINGTO	ON, DC 20001-5303	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	71,150 20001 0000		1624		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			06/28/2010	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/571,291	GAILLARD ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
/Venkataraman Balasubramanian/	1624		

	Balasubramanian/						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 16 June 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
 X The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: 	the same day as filing a Notice of a replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abai t, or other evidence, v with 37 CFR 41.31; o	hich places the (3) a Request				
 a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date 							
 The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to 	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	date of the final rejection	n.				
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropri- nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as				
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with 	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	s of the date of e appeal. Since a				
AMENDMENTS							
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to (a) They raise new issues that would require further core (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belowed). 	nsideration and/or search (see NOT		cause				
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal: and/or		lucing or simplifying t	ne issues for				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a c	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.					
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).							
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).				
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 							
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		•					
 For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: 		l be entered and an e	xplanation of				
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:							
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail	s to provide a				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.				
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:				
<u> </u>							
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).							
13. Other: The 103 rejection over Halazy in view of Bennet a office action are maintained. Applicants' traversal is not persuas							

1, 13 and 14 to treating diabetes and adds limitation of claim 9 to claim 1, did not overcome these rejections. Contrary to applicants, urging, the applied prior art clearly permits use of other active ingredients. Hence, these rejections are maintained.

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Application No.

/Venkataraman Balasubramanian/

Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1624

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Part of Paper No. 20100624